

SIRS results

**SIRS SUMMARY REPORT FOR: GLG 201 001 (TERM: FS19)**

- Instructor: LINDSAY WILLIAMS
- Instructor: MICHAEL A VELBEL
- Number of students enrolled: 30
- Number of replies: 25
- Date generated: 1/10/2020 1:32:16 PM

**LOGGED IN AS**

- Coryell, Dallas
- SIRS Form Editor
- 1/10/2020 1:32:16 PM

[Show Form Questions](#) (opens in a new window)

						OMIT	MEAN	STD. Deviation
CUSTOM QUESTIONS	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1 This course was well organized.	44%	40%	8%	8%	0%	0%	1.8	0.89
2 This course was intellectually challenging.	28%	48%	12%	4%	8%	0%	2.16	1.12
3 I learned a great deal in this course.	36%	56%	4%	4%	0%	0%	1.76	0.70
4 This course has increased my interest in science.	32%	48%	12%	4%	4%	0%	2	0.97
5 Grading criteria were made clear early in the term.	36%	60%	0%	4%	0%	0%	1.72	0.66
6 Succeeding in this course required more than memorization.	24%	36%	16%	16%	8%	0%	2.48	1.23
7 Course objectives were made clear early in the term.	24%	64%	4%	8%	0%	0%	1.96	0.77
8 How would you rate this course overall?	28%	48%	16%	8%		0%	2.04	0.87
<b>(Instructor: LINDSAY WILLIAMS)</b>								
9 The instructor covered material related to the course objectives.	80%	20%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1.2	0.4
<b>(Instructor: LINDSAY WILLIAMS)</b>								
10 The instructor graded coursework without bias or prejudice.	68%	24%	0%	4%	4%	0%	1.52	0.98
<b>(Instructor: LINDSAY WILLIAMS)</b>								
11 The instructor provided feedback on assignments promptly.	32%	56%	12%	0%	0%	0%	1.8	0.63
<b>(Instructor: LINDSAY WILLIAMS)</b>								
12 The instructor demonstrated enthusiasm for the material.	32%	52%	4%	12%	0%	0%	1.96	0.91
<b>(Instructor: LINDSAY WILLIAMS)</b>								
13 The instructor was able to communicate material clearly.	64%	36%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1.36	0.48
<b>(Instructor: LINDSAY WILLIAMS)</b>								
14 The instructor was concerned that students learn.	60%	36%	0%	4%	0%	0%	1.48	0.69
<b>(Instructor: LINDSAY WILLIAMS)</b>								
15 The instructor encouraged student engagement.	56%	44%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1.44	0.49
<b>(Instructor: LINDSAY WILLIAMS)</b>								
16 The instructor showed tolerance and respect for students.	64%	36%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1.36	0.48
<b>(Instructor: LINDSAY WILLIAMS)</b>								
17 The instructor was available during office hours.	56%	28%	0%	0%	16%	0%	1.92	1.41
<b>(Instructor: LINDSAY WILLIAMS)</b>								
18 How would you rate this instructor overall?	64%	32%	4%	0%		0%	1.4	0.56

**SIRS SUMMARY REPORT FOR: GLG 201 002 (TERM: FS19)**

- Instructor: LINDSAY WILLIAMS
- Instructor: MICHAEL A VELBEL
- Number of students enrolled: 28
- Number of replies: 22
- Date generated: 1/10/2020 1:32:16 PM

[Show Form Questions](#) (opens in a new window)

						OMIT	MEAN	STD. Deviation
CUSTOM QUESTIONS	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1 This course was well organized.	22.7%	54.5%	18.1%	4.54%	0%	0%	2.04	0.76
2 This course was intellectually challenging.	27.2%	45.4%	22.7%	0%	4.54%	0%	2.09	0.94
3 I learned a great deal in this course.	27.2%	45.4%	18.1%	9.09%	0%	0%	2.09	0.89
4 This course has increased my interest in science.	31.8%	31.8%	22.7%	9.09%	4.54%	0%	2.22	1.12
5 Grading criteria were made clear early in the term.	50%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1.5	0.5
6 Succeeding in this course required more than memorization.	22.7%	36.3%	22.7%	18.1%	0%	0%	2.36	1.02
7 Course objectives were made clear early in the term.	31.8%	63.6%	4.54%	0%	0%	0%	1.72	0.53
8 How would you rate this course overall?	27.2%	50%	18.1%	4.54%		0%	2	0.79
<b>(Instructor: LINDSAY WILLIAMS)</b>								
9 The instructor covered material related to the course objectives.	65%	30%	0%	0%	5%	9.09%	1.5	0.92
<b>(Instructor: LINDSAY WILLIAMS)</b>								
10 The instructor graded coursework without bias or prejudice.	63.6%	27.2%	0%	0%	9.09%	0%	1.63	1.14
<b>(Instructor: LINDSAY WILLIAMS)</b>								
11 The instructor provided feedback on assignments promptly.	50%	31.8%	9.09%	0%	9.09%	0%	1.86	1.17
<b>(Instructor: LINDSAY WILLIAMS)</b>								
12 The instructor demonstrated enthusiasm for the material.	45.4%	40.9%	4.54%	0%	9.09%	0%	1.86	1.13
<b>(Instructor: LINDSAY WILLIAMS)</b>								
13 The instructor was able to communicate material clearly.	66.6%	28.5%	0%	0%	4.76%	4.54%	1.47	0.90
<b>(Instructor: LINDSAY WILLIAMS)</b>								
14 The instructor was concerned that students learn.	54.5%	40.9%	0%	0%	4.54%	0%	1.59	0.88
<b>(Instructor: LINDSAY WILLIAMS)</b>								
15 The instructor encouraged student engagement.	54.5%	40.9%	0%	0%	4.54%	0%	1.59	0.88
<b>(Instructor: LINDSAY WILLIAMS)</b>								
16 The instructor showed tolerance and respect for students.	59.0%	36.3%	0%	0%	4.54%	0%	1.54	0.89
<b>(Instructor: LINDSAY WILLIAMS)</b>								
17 The instructor was available during office hours.	40.9%	31.8%	0%	0%	27.2%	0%	2.40	1.64

						OMIT	MEAN	STD. Deviation
CUSTOM QUESTIONS	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
(Instructor: LINDSAY WILLIAMS)	76.1%	23.8%	0%	0%		4.54%	1.23	0.42
18 How would you rate this instructor overall?								

**SIRS SUMMARY REPORT FOR: GLG 201 003 (TERM: FS19)**

- Instructor: LINDSAY WILLIAMS
- Instructor: MICHAEL A VELBEL
- Number of students enrolled: 30
- Number of replies: 27
- Date generated: 1/10/2020 1:32:16 PM

[Show Form Questions](#) (opens in a new window)

						OMIT	MEAN	STD. Deviation
CUSTOM QUESTIONS	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1 This course was well organized.	44.4%	51.8%	3.70%	0%	0%	0%	1.59	0.56
2 This course was intellectually challenging.	7.40%	74.0%	14.8%	3.70%	0%	0%	2.14	0.59
3 I learned a great deal in this course.	40.7%	44.4%	11.1%	0%	3.70%	0%	1.81	0.90
4 This course has increased my interest in science.	40.7%	37.0%	14.8%	3.70%	3.70%	0%	1.92	1.01
5 Grading criteria were made clear early in the term.	55.5%	40.7%	0%	0%	3.70%	0%	1.55	0.83
6 Succeeding in this course required more than memorization.	14.8%	66.6%	14.8%	3.70%	0%	0%	2.07	0.66
7 Course objectives were made clear early in the term.	42.3%	46.1%	7.69%	0%	3.84%	3.70%	1.76	0.89
8 How would you rate this course overall?	23.0%	61.5%	11.5%	3.84%		3.70%	1.96	0.70
<b>(Instructor: LINDSAY WILLIAMS)</b>								
9 The instructor covered material related to the course objectives.	70.3%	29.6%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1.29	0.45
<b>(Instructor: LINDSAY WILLIAMS)</b>								
10 The instructor graded coursework without bias or prejudice.	80.7%	19.2%	0%	0%	0%	3.70%	1.19	0.39
<b>(Instructor: LINDSAY WILLIAMS)</b>								
11 The instructor provided feedback on assignments promptly.	51.8%	33.3%	14.8%	0%	0%	0%	1.62	0.72
<b>(Instructor: LINDSAY WILLIAMS)</b>								
12 The instructor demonstrated enthusiasm for the material.	40.7%	48.1%	7.40%	3.70%	0%	0%	1.74	0.74
<b>(Instructor: LINDSAY WILLIAMS)</b>								
13 The instructor was able to communicate material clearly.	51.8%	37.0%	11.1%	0%	0%	0%	1.59	0.68
<b>(Instructor: LINDSAY WILLIAMS)</b>								
14 The instructor was concerned that students learn.	48.1%	51.8%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1.51	0.49
<b>(Instructor: LINDSAY WILLIAMS)</b>								
15 The instructor encouraged student engagement.	55.5%	37.0%	7.40%	0%	0%	0%	1.51	0.63
<b>(Instructor: LINDSAY WILLIAMS)</b>								
16 The instructor showed tolerance and respect for students.	81.4%	18.5%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1.18	0.38
<b>(Instructor: LINDSAY WILLIAMS)</b>								
17 The instructor was available during office hours.	40.7%	33.3%	0%	0%	25.9%	0%	2.37	1.61

						OMIT	MEAN	STD. Deviation
CUSTOM QUESTIONS	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
(Instructor: LINDSAY WILLIAMS)	51.8%	40.7%	7.40%	0%		0%	1.55	0.62
18 How would you rate this instructor overall?								