

SIRS results

SIRS SUMMARY REPORT FOR: GLG 201 002 (TERM: FS17)

LOGGED IN AS

- Instructor: LINDSAY WILLIAMS
- Instructor: DAVID T LONG
- Number of students enrolled: 27
- Number of replies: 22
- Date generated: 4/24/2019 1:03:13 PM

- Coryell, Dallas
- SIRS Form Editor
- 4/24/2019 1:03:13 PM

[Show Form Questions](#) (opens in a new window)

| | | | | | | OMIT | MEAN | STD. Deviation |
|---|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|----------------|
| CUSTOM QUESTIONS | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| 1 This course was well organized. | 31.8% | 45.4% | 13.6% | 9.09% | 0% | 0% | 2 | 0.90 |
| 2 This course was intellectually challenging. | 18.1% | 50% | 13.6% | 9.09% | 9.09% | 0% | 2.40 | 1.15 |
| 3 I learned a great deal in this course. | 27.2% | 40.9% | 9.09% | 18.1% | 4.54% | 0% | 2.31 | 1.18 |
| 4 This course has increased my interest in science. | 22.7% | 40.9% | 22.7% | 13.6% | 0% | 0% | 2.27 | 0.96 |
| 5 Grading criteria were made clear early in the term. | 22.7% | 63.6% | 0% | 13.6% | 0% | 0% | 2.04 | 0.87 |
| 6 Succeeding in this course required more than memorization. | 18.1% | 54.5% | 4.54% | 13.6% | 9.09% | 0% | 2.40 | 1.19 |
| 7 Course objectives were made clear early in the term. | 33.3% | 61.9% | 0% | 4.76% | 0% | 4.54% | 1.76 | 0.68 |
| 8 How would you rate this course overall? | 38.0% | 33.3% | 14.2% | 14.2% | | 4.54% | 2.04 | 1.04 |
| (Instructor: LINDSAY WILLIAMS) | | | | | | | | |
| 9 The instructor covered material related to the course objectives. | 45.4% | 50% | 4.54% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 1.59 | 0.57 |
| (Instructor: LINDSAY WILLIAMS) | | | | | | | | |
| 10 The instructor graded coursework without bias or prejudice. | 59.0% | 36.3% | 4.54% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 1.45 | 0.58 |
| (Instructor: LINDSAY WILLIAMS) | | | | | | | | |
| 11 The instructor provided feedback on assignments promptly. | 31.8% | 54.5% | 0% | 0% | 13.6% | 0% | 2.09 | 1.23 |
| (Instructor: LINDSAY WILLIAMS) | | | | | | | | |
| 12 The instructor demonstrated enthusiasm for the material. | 13.6% | 45.4% | 31.8% | 0% | 9.09% | 0% | 2.45 | 1.03 |
| (Instructor: LINDSAY WILLIAMS) | | | | | | | | |
| 13 The instructor was able to communicate material clearly. | 38.0% | 38.0% | 19.0% | 0% | 4.76% | 4.54% | 1.95 | 0.99 |
| (Instructor: LINDSAY WILLIAMS) | | | | | | | | |
| 14 The instructor was concerned that students learn. | 18.1% | 63.6% | 13.6% | 4.54% | 0% | 0% | 2.04 | 0.70 |
| (Instructor: LINDSAY WILLIAMS) | | | | | | | | |
| 15 The instructor encouraged student engagement. | 22.7% | 54.5% | 18.1% | 0% | 4.54% | 0% | 2.09 | 0.89 |
| (Instructor: LINDSAY WILLIAMS) | | | | | | | | |
| 16 The instructor showed tolerance and respect for students. | 36.3% | 63.6% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 1.63 | 0.48 |
| (Instructor: LINDSAY WILLIAMS) | | | | | | | | |
| | 31.8% | 50% | 0% | 0% | 18.1% | 0% | 2.22 | 1.37 |

| CUSTOM QUESTIONS | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | OMIT | MEAN | STD. Deviation |
|--|-------|-------|-------|----|---|----|---|---|------|------|----------------|
| 17 The instructor was available during office hours. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (Instructor: LINDSAY WILLIAMS) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 18 How would you rate this instructor overall? | 22.7% | 54.5% | 22.7% | 0% | | 0% | 2 | | | | 0.67 |

SIRS SUMMARY REPORT FOR: GLG 201 004 (TERM: FS17)

- Instructor: LINDSAY WILLIAMS
- Instructor: DAVID T LONG
- Number of students enrolled: 29
- Number of replies: 24
- Date generated: 4/24/2019 1:03:13 PM

[Show Form Questions](#) (opens in a new window)

| | | | | | | OMIT | MEAN | STD. Deviation |
|---|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|----------------|
| CUSTOM QUESTIONS | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| 1 This course was well organized. | 25% | 62.5% | 12.5% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 1.87 | 0.59 |
| 2 This course was intellectually challenging. | 25% | 66.6% | 4.16% | 4.16% | 0% | 0% | 1.87 | 0.66 |
| 3 I learned a great deal in this course. | 50% | 33.3% | 12.5% | 4.16% | 0% | 0% | 1.70 | 0.84 |
| 4 This course has increased my interest in science. | 37.5% | 33.3% | 12.5% | 4.16% | 12.5% | 0% | 2.20 | 1.32 |
| 5 Grading criteria were made clear early in the term. | 25% | 45.8% | 29.1% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 2.04 | 0.73 |
| 6 Succeeding in this course required more than memorization. | 30.4% | 39.1% | 21.7% | 0% | 8.69% | 4.16% | 2.17 | 1.12 |
| 7 Course objectives were made clear early in the term. | 41.6% | 41.6% | 8.33% | 0% | 8.33% | 0% | 1.91 | 1.11 |
| 8 How would you rate this course overall? | 47.8% | 26.0% | 21.7% | 4.34% | | 4.16% | 1.82 | 0.91 |
| (Instructor: LINDSAY WILLIAMS) | | | | | | | | |
| 9 The instructor covered material related to the course objectives. | 37.5% | 54.1% | 4.16% | 4.16% | 0% | 0% | 1.75 | 0.72 |
| (Instructor: LINDSAY WILLIAMS) | | | | | | | | |
| 10 The instructor graded coursework without bias or prejudice. | 50% | 41.6% | 4.16% | 4.16% | 0% | 0% | 1.62 | 0.75 |
| (Instructor: LINDSAY WILLIAMS) | | | | | | | | |
| 11 The instructor provided feedback on assignments promptly. | 37.5% | 50% | 4.16% | 8.33% | 0% | 0% | 1.83 | 0.84 |
| (Instructor: LINDSAY WILLIAMS) | | | | | | | | |
| 12 The instructor demonstrated enthusiasm for the material. | 20.8% | 62.5% | 8.33% | 8.33% | 0% | 0% | 2.04 | 0.78 |
| (Instructor: LINDSAY WILLIAMS) | | | | | | | | |
| 13 The instructor was able to communicate material clearly. | 29.1% | 58.3% | 8.33% | 4.16% | 0% | 0% | 1.87 | 0.72 |
| (Instructor: LINDSAY WILLIAMS) | | | | | | | | |
| 14 The instructor was concerned that students learn. | 37.5% | 50% | 0% | 8.33% | 4.16% | 0% | 1.91 | 1.03 |
| (Instructor: LINDSAY WILLIAMS) | | | | | | | | |
| 15 The instructor encouraged student engagement. | 37.5% | 54.1% | 4.16% | 4.16% | 0% | 0% | 1.75 | 0.72 |
| (Instructor: LINDSAY WILLIAMS) | | | | | | | | |
| 16 The instructor showed tolerance and respect for students. | 45.8% | 45.8% | 0% | 8.33% | 0% | 0% | 1.70 | 0.84 |
| (Instructor: LINDSAY WILLIAMS) | | | | | | | | |
| 17 The instructor was available during office hours. | 41.6% | 41.6% | 4.16% | 0% | 12.5% | 0% | 2 | 1.25 |

| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
|--|-------|-------|-------|-------|---|------|------|----------------|
| CUSTOM QUESTIONS | | | | | | OMIT | MEAN | STD. Deviation |
| (Instructor: LINDSAY WILLIAMS) | 41.6% | 41.6% | 8.33% | 8.33% | | 0% | 1.83 | 0.89 |
| 18 How would you rate this instructor overall? | | | | | | | | |

SIRS SUMMARY REPORT FOR: GLG 201 005 (TERM: FS17)

- Instructor: LINDSAY WILLIAMS
- Instructor: DAVID T LONG
- Number of students enrolled: 25
- Number of replies: 22
- Date generated: 4/24/2019 1:03:13 PM

[Show Form Questions](#) (opens in a new window)

| | | | | | | OMIT | MEAN | STD. Deviation |
|---|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|-------------------|
| CUSTOM QUESTIONS | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| 1 This course was well organized. | 13.6% | 45.4% | 27.2% | 13.6% | 0% | 0% | 2.40 | 0.88 |
| 2 This course was intellectually challenging. | 22.7% | 54.5% | 22.7% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 2 | 0.67 |
| 3 I learned a great deal in this course. | 27.2% | 40.9% | 22.7% | 0% | 9.09% | 0% | 2.22 | 1.12 |
| 4 This course has increased my interest in science. | 18.1% | 36.3% | 40.9% | 0% | 4.54% | 0% | 2.36 | 0.93 |
| 5 Grading criteria were made clear early in the term. | 18.1% | 50% | 18.1% | 9.09% | 4.54% | 0% | 2.31 | 1.01 |
| 6 Succeeding in this course required more than memorization. | 36.3% | 45.4% | 18.1% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 1.81 | 0.71 |
| 7 Course objectives were made clear early in the term. | 18.1% | 63.6% | 9.09% | 4.54% | 4.54% | 0% | 2.13 | 0.91 |
| 8 How would you rate this course overall? | 18.1% | 31.8% | 36.3% | 13.6% | | 0% | 2.45 | 0.94 |
| (Instructor: LINDSAY WILLIAMS) | | | | | | | | |
| 9 The instructor covered material related to the course objectives. | 45.4% | 54.5% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 1.54 | 0.49 |
| (Instructor: LINDSAY WILLIAMS) | | | | | | | | |
| 10 The instructor graded coursework without bias or prejudice. | 54.5% | 45.4% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 1.45 | 0.49 |
| (Instructor: LINDSAY WILLIAMS) | | | | | | | | |
| 11 The instructor provided feedback on assignments promptly. | 31.8% | 59.0% | 9.09% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 1.77 | 0.59 |
| (Instructor: LINDSAY WILLIAMS) | | | | | | | | |
| 12 The instructor demonstrated enthusiasm for the material. | 22.7% | 54.5% | 13.6% | 0% | 9.09% | 0% | 2.18 | 1.07 |
| (Instructor: LINDSAY WILLIAMS) | | | | | | | | |
| 13 The instructor was able to communicate material clearly. | 36.3% | 59.0% | 4.54% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 1.68 | 0.55 |
| (Instructor: LINDSAY WILLIAMS) | | | | | | | | |
| 14 The instructor was concerned that students learn. | 22.7% | 54.5% | 9.09% | 0% | 13.6% | 0% | 2.27 | 1.21 |
| (Instructor: LINDSAY WILLIAMS) | | | | | | | | |
| 15 The instructor encouraged student engagement. | 27.2% | 63.6% | 0% | 0% | 9.09% | 0% | 2 | 1.04 |
| (Instructor: LINDSAY WILLIAMS) | | | | | | | | |
| 16 The instructor showed tolerance and respect for students. | 45.4% | 50% | 0% | 0% | 4.54% | 0% | 1.68 | 0.87 |
| (Instructor: LINDSAY WILLIAMS) | | | | | | | | |
| 17 The instructor was available during office hours. | 36.3% | 40.9% | 0% | 0% | 22.7% | 0% | 2.31 | 1.51 |

| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
|--|-------|-------|-------|----|---|-------------|-------------|-----------------------|
| CUSTOM QUESTIONS | | | | | | OMIT | MEAN | STD. Deviation |
| (Instructor: LINDSAY WILLIAMS) | 36.3% | 59.0% | 4.54% | 0% | | 0% | 1.68 | 0.55 |
| 18 How would you rate this instructor overall? | | | | | | | | |